

EXPEDITED PROCEDURE - AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL

"OFFICIAL"

TELECOPY

COGNIS CORPORATION
2500 RENAISSANCE BLVD.
SUITE 200
GULPH MILLS, PA 19406

DATE: June 6, 2003**TO:**

Name: Ex. A. Michael Chambers
Art Unit 3753

Location: USPTOFax No.: (703) 872-9303**FROM:**Name: Henry E. Millson, Jr.Location: Gulph Mills, PA**FAX RECEIVED**

JUN 06 2003

GROUP 3700**RE:** Serial No. 09/855,002**Attorney Docket No.** D 8927B-OC/FOHPTNUMBER OF PAGES 10 INCLUDING THIS COVER PAGE.We are transmitting from facsimile machine (610) 278-4971.

If you do not receive all the pages indicated above, please call Rose Stowe at (610) 278-4949 or 278-4920 between 8:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FAX MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENT(S) NAMED ABOVE. THIS MESSAGE MAY BE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION, AND AS SUCH IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR AN AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS DOCUMENT IN ERROR, AND THAT ANY REVIEW DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US BY MAIL. THANK YOU.

Attachment to be transmitted is as follows:

- RESPONSE TO THE FINAL REJECTION DATED 03/07/2003

PATENT

Docket No. D 8927B-OC/FOHPT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re: Application of Carduck, et al.

Serial No. 09/855,002

Filed: 05/14/01

TITLE: A LIQUID DISTRIBUTOR

Examiner: A. Michael Chambers

Art Unit: 3753

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

June 6, 2003

Date

Rose A. Stowe

Signature of certifier

Rose A. Stowe

Typed or printed name of certifier

RESPONSE TO THE FINAL REJECTION DATED 03/07/2003

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the above Final Rejection.

Claims 18, 20 and 22 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Hehl reference.

The Hehl reference is first of all directed to injection molding machines. Hehl's invention relates to a "multi-orifice injector nozzle" (see the ABSTRACT) for the injection molding of "plastic material(s) (see col. 3, lines 37-44), designed to eliminate thermal decomposition of "certain very temperature-sensitive injection materials" (col. 3, lines 49-53), especially for "the injection blow-molding of bottles and the like" (col. 3, lines 53-59).

It is respectfully contended that an injection molding machine is not a "liquid distributor" as this term is understood in the art.